UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,137	08/29/2001	Christopher M. Angelucci	001227.0085	6603
	7590 08/20/200 STROOCK & LAVAN	EXAMINER		
180 MAIDEN LANE			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
NEW YORK, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Canaminer		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Pedro Philogene 3733	Office Action Comments	09/942,137	ANGELUCCI ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Fathicities of the reny by a socialization under the provision of 3 CFR 1-1809, is not event, however, may a reply be tirely filled. If NO period for reply is appected above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing case of this communication. Fallins to reply within the sot or schedold period for right will be presented period for reply is appected above, the maximum statutory point will be presented above. The maximum statutory are reply will be communication, even if timely liked, may refuse any variety part term adjustment. Sets 37 GFR 1-7009. Status Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 14 April 2008. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.6.17-6.169-71 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ** Transcrients of time may be available under the provisionized 37 CFR 1.35(a). Time exemi. Inversee: may a reply be timely filled. ** False to reply the provision of the major and authors period will apply and will expire the provision to receive any acceptance of the communication. ** False to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. 29 statute: cause the application to become AlANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). **Status** 1) **X Responsive to communication(s) filled on 14 April 2008. 2a) **X This action is FINAL. 2b) **This action is non-final. 3) **Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) **X Claim(s) 1-8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) **Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) **Claim(s) 1-8.17-21.16-21.69-71 is/are pending in the application. 5b) **Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5c) **Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6b) **Claim(s) is/are objected to. 5c) **Claim(s) is/are objected to. 5c) **Claim(s) is/are objected to. 5c) **Claim(s)		Pedro Philogene	3733				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elatesians of them raps be available under the provisions of 3°CFR 1130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 30X (6) MSCN 1155 from the maining date of the communication, and the state of the communication of the							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8,16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-6,13-14,16-21,69-71 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-6.13-14.16-21.69-71 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-6.13-14.16-21.69-71 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 5 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper NotisyMall Date. 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ar	oril 2008.					
3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 \times Claim(s) 1-8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 \times Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 \times Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 \times Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 \times Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 \times Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 \times The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 \times The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a \times accepted or b \times objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 \times The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 \times Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)\times All b \times Some * c)\times None of: 1.\times Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.\times Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.\times Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. \times Altachment(s) \times Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) \times Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) \times Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) \times Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Paplication \times Notice of Informal Paplic	·=		secution as to the merits is				
Al) Claim(s) 1-8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)							
4) Claim(s) 1-8.16-21 and 69-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-S808) 5) Notice of Indrama Patent Application			3.3.2.3.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)Mail Date	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some cy None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Specification Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6,13-14,16-21,69-71</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	f.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,5, 6,16-21,69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKay (5,702,449) in view of Zucherman et al. (5,836,948).

McKay discloses an implant for use in a patient's spinal column in connection with a laminoplasty procedure of a cut bone having first and second segments; as best seen in FIG.3, the implant comprising: a body (15) portion having a length, a width, a depth, and a longitudinal axis, the body portion being configured to be insertable between the first and second bone segments (v), the body portion having an outer surface forming a hollow region (18), the hollow region having a longitudinal axis coaxial with the longitudinal axis of the body portion, the hollow region comprising most of the volume of the body portion, the body portion further having first and second open ends; as best seen in Fig.2, for contacting the first and second bone segments, the body portion having an inner side region and an outer side region; as best seen in FIG.3;

Although McKay discloses a plurality of connecting means for attaching the body to the bone segments (V), It is noted McKay did not teach of at least one of the first and second open ends comprises a single bone receiving channel extending there across that has a first depth measured from the crotch of the channel to the outer surface of the inner side region at the at least one end, the first side extending along the length of the

body portion, the channel also having a second depth measured from the crotch of the channel to the outer surface of the outer side region at the at least one end, the second side extending along the length of the body portion, the second side opposite the first side, the first depth being less than second depths, and a centerline of the side opposite the first side, the first and second side depths having different measurements; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Zucherman et al; as set forth in column 15, lines 55-67, column 16, lines 1-67, column 17, lines 1-9; and as best seen in FIGS.63-66, 74-78, provide the evidences of the use of such a single bone receiving channel, as above and as claimed by applicant; such that the implant can be easily and conveniently inserted, will not migrate forwardly and will hold its position through flexion and extension as well as lateral bending of the spinal column.

Therefore, given the teaching of Zucherman et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the attaching means of McKay, as taught by Zucherman et al. such that the implant can be easily and conveniently inserted, will not migrate forwardly and will hold its position through flexion and extension as well as lateral bending of the spinal column.

Claims 3-4,7,8,13,14, 15, 70,71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKay (5,702,449) in view of Zucherman et al. (5,836,948) In view of Sander et al. (7,048,762).

It is noted that the above combination of references did not teach of an implant made of bone allograft material wherein a portion of the first and second ends comprises deminaralized cortical bone wherein the bone is obtained from a cross-

section of a donor bone having an intramedullary canal, and wherein the inner surface of the implant is defined by the intramedullary canal of the donor bone and the volume of the hollow region is greater than the intramedullary canal of the donor bone.

However, in a similar art, Sander et al evidence the use of an elongated cortical bone implant taking from the long bone to increase the size of the canal to receive more bone material and to match, as much as possible, the natural external curvature of the lumbar vertebrae.

Therefore, given the teaching of Sander et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of McKay/Zucherman et al, as taught by Sander et al., to increase the size of the canal to receive more bone material and to match, as much as possible, the natural external curvature of the lumbar vertebrae.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 4/14/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8,13-21,23-25,53-58,60,62-66,69-71 under 102/103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of McKay. See rejection above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Page 5

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,137 Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733 August 16, 2008